December 11, 2007

Via U.S. Mail and Facsimile (212-310-3572)

Steven C. Patrick Chief Financial Officer Colgate-Palmolive Company 300 Park Avenue New York, New York 10022

Re: Colgate-Palmolive Company
Form 10-K for Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2006
Filed February 23, 2007
File No. 1-00644

Dear Mr. Patrick:

We have limited our review of your above filings to disclosure relating to your contacts with countries that have been identified as state sponsors of terrorism, and we have the following comments. Our review with respect to this issue does not preclude further review

by the Assistant Director group with respect to other issues. At this

juncture, we are asking you to provide us with supplemental information, so that we may better understand your disclosure. Please be as detailed as necessary in your response. After reviewing

this information, we may raise additional comments.

Please understand that the purpose of our review process is to assist you in your compliance with the applicable disclosure requirements and to enhance the overall disclosure in your filings.

We look forward to working with you in these respects. We welcome any questions you may have about our comments or on any other aspect

of our review. Feel free to call us at the telephone numbers listed

at the end of this letter.

General

1. We are aware of a May 2007 news report indicating that your products are sold in Cuba. In addition, we note that until very recently nationals of Cuba, Iran, North Korea, Sudan, and Syria could

obtain product-related information and "notifications about special

offers, product news and other communications from Colgate" from a pull-down menu available in the "Contact Us" section of your website.

The named countries are identified by the State Department as state

sponsors of terrorism, and are subject to ${\tt U.S.}$ economic sanctions and

export controls. Your Form 10-K does not include any information regarding contacts with those countries. Please describe to us

nature and extent of your past, current, and anticipated contacts with those countries, if any, whether through distributors or by other direct or indirect arrangements. Your response should describe

in reasonable detail the products you have provided into the referenced countries, whether directly or indirectly, and any agreements, commercial arrangements, or other contacts with the governments of those countries or entities controlled by them.

2. Please discuss the materiality of any contacts described in

2. Please discuss the materiality of any contacts described in response to the foregoing comment, and whether they would constitute

a material investment risk for your security holders. You should address materiality in quantitative terms, including the approximate

dollar amounts of any associated revenues, assets, and liabilities for the last three years concerning each referenced country. Also,

address materiality in terms of qualitative factors that a reasonable $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

investor would deem important in making an investment decision, including the potential impact of corporate activities upon a company's reputation and share value.

We note, for example, that Arizona and Louisiana have adopted legislation requiring their state retirement systems to prepare reports regarding state pension fund assets invested in, and/or permitting divestment of state pension fund assets from, companies that do business with countries identified as state sponsors of terrorism. The Missouri Investment Trust has established an equity

fund for the investment of certain state-held monies that screens out

stocks of companies that do business with U.S.-designated state sponsors of terrorism. The Pennsylvania legislature has adopted a resolution directing its Legislative Budget and Finance Committee

report annually to the General Assembly regarding state funds invested in companies that have ties to terrorist-sponsoring countries. Florida requires issuers to disclose in their prospectuses any business contacts with Cuba or persons located in Cuba. States including California, Connecticut, Maine, New Jersey,

and Oregon have adopted, and other states are considering, legislation prohibiting the investment of certain state assets in, and/or requiring the divestment of certain state assets from, companies that do business with Sudan. Harvard University, Stanford

University, the University of California, and other academic institutions have adopted policies prohibiting investment in, and/or

requiring divestment from, companies that do business with Sudan. Your materiality analysis should address the potential impact of the

investor sentiment evidenced by such actions directed toward companies that have business contacts with ${\tt Cuba}, {\tt Iran}, {\tt North} {\tt Korea},$

Sudan, and Syria.

Your qualitative materiality analysis also should address whether, and the extent to which, the governments of the referenced countries,

or persons or entities controlled by those governments, receive cash

or act as intermediaries in connection with your business contacts.

* * * * *

Please respond to these comments within 10 business days or tell us when you will provide us with a response. Please submit your response letter on EDGAR.

We urge all persons who are responsible for the accuracy and adequacy of the disclosure in the filings to be certain that the filings include all information required under the Exchange Act of 1934 and that they have provided all information investors require for an informed investment decision. Since the company and its management are in possession of all facts relating to the company's

disclosure, they are responsible for the accuracy and adequacy of the

disclosures they have made.

In connection with responding to our comments, please provide,

in writing, a statement from the company acknowledging that:

- * the company is responsible for the adequacy and accuracy of the disclosure in the filings;
- * staff comments or changes to disclosure in response to staff comments do not foreclose the Commission from taking any action with

respect to the filings; and

* the company may not assert staff comments as a defense in any proceeding initiated by the Commission or any person under the federal securities laws of the United States.

In addition, please be advised that the Division of Enforcement has access to all information you provide to the staff of the Division of Corporation Finance in our review of your filings or in response to our comments on your filings.

Please understand that we may have additional comments after we review your response to our comments. Please contact Pradip Bhaumik,
Attorney-Advisor, at (202) 551-3333 if you have any questions about the comments or our review. You may also contact me at (202) 551-3470.

Sincerely,

Cecilia Blye, Chief Office of Global Security

Risk

cc: Pamela Long

Assistant Director Division of Corporation Finance

Steven C. Patrick Colgate-Palmolive Company December 11, 2007 Page 3